REMARKS

Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Pham for the courtesy of a telephone conference on June 2, 2009.

The Examiner indicated that applicant's proposed amendment of the allowed subject matter and its intent to use consistently the words "light transmission layer" instead of "light transmission substrate," did not alter the allowability of the present claims.

We have cancelled the claims that the Examiner found to have a reason for refusal.

Therefore, the present invention should be granted a patent.

Note that, as we confirmed in the telephone interview, the amendment changing "substrate" to "layer" does not represent the addition of any new matter, and does not represent a change in the essential matter of the invention.

The applicant has now redrafted the subject matter of Claim 1 to incorporate the indicated allowable subject matter of Claims 9-12 with the exception of that portion of Claim 9, referring to the light transmission layers formed from at least a light transmission substrate which has now been deleted per the interview as new Claim 46.

Claim 31 has now been redrafted as new Claim 47 with the incorporation of the indicated allowable subject matter of Claim 35, again with a change of light transmission substrate, and is believed new Claim 47 is allowable.

The subject matter of allowed dependent Claim 13, with the above alterations on light transmission substrate, is now presented as new independent Claim 48.

The subject matter of allowed dependent Claim 34, again with the alteration of light transmission substrate, has now been redrafted as new independent Claim 49.

Applicant, accordingly, desires a prompt notice of allowance to grant a patent.

If there are any additional questions with regards to this case, the undersigned attorney can be contacted at the listed phone number.

Very truly yours,

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

Joseph W. Price

Registration No. 25,124 600 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Tel: 714-427-7420 Fax: 714-427-7799